UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,114	12/01/2003	Adrian Meredith Sunter	IS-US030581	9931
	7590 04/16/200 OUNSELORS, LLP	8	EXAMINER	
1233 20TH STE	REET, NW, SUITE 70		WEEKS, GLORIA R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/724,114	SUNTER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GLORIA R. WEEKS	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-20 AND 22-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application -	

Application/Control Number: 10/724,114 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendments and remarks filed on December 20, 2007.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 17, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9-12, 14, 15, 18-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandolo (USPN 5,361,560) in view of Kammler et al. (USPN 5,832,700).

In reference to claims 9-12, Sandolo discloses a flavoring system comprising: a measurer 10 for measuring a quantity of articles (column 3 lines 55-58); a flavoring apparatus 21A, 22A, 23A, 24A downstream of the measurer 10, wherein the quantity of flavoring is determined based on the quantity of articles measured (column 2 lines 6-9); a packager 14; and a controller (control valve) that controls the predetermined quantity of flavoring supplied by the flavoring

Page 3

apparatus, wherein the quantity of articles and flavoring is measured by a predetermined flow rate. Sandolo does not disclose a check measurer.

Kammler et al. teaches a weighing and flavoring system comprising: a measurer 20 for measuring a quantity of articles (column 4 lines 22-26); a check measurer 17 downstream of the measurer 20 for weighing the articles; a packager 11; a sorting unit (column 2 lines 50-53); and a control means 31 for controlling the predetermined quantity of articles supplied by the measurer according to a value indicative of a difference between the predetermined quantity of articles and the actual quantity of articles provided as measured by the check measurer 17. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the flavoring system of Sandolo to include a check measurer, sorting unit and control means, since column 1 lines 46-50 of Kammler et al. states that such a modification ensures that an exact quantity of flowable products are dispensed, insufficiently filled packages are not shipped to customers, and proper adjustments can be made to subsequent packages based on the determined weight of the mixture and the packaging.

With respect to claims 14, 15, 18, 20 and 23, Sandolo discloses a method and apparatus comprising: providing a weigher 10; providing an additive dispenser 21A, 22A, 23A, 24A; providing a vertical form, fill and seal packaging machine 14; a mixer 15; and providing a controller (control valve) that controls the additive dispenser 4621A, 22A, 23A, 24A. Sandolo does not disclose a check weigher.

Kammler et al. teaches a weighing and flavoring system comprising: a measurer 20 for measuring a quantity of articles (column 4 lines 22-26); a check measurer 17 downstream of the measurer 20 for weighing the articles; a packager 11; a sorting unit (column 2 lines 50-53); and a controller 31 for controlling the predetermined quantity of articles supplied by the measurer in a subsequent control cycle in response to an output of the combined weight of the package and the articles in a current control cycle (column 2 lines 6-22). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the flavoring system of Sandolo to include a check measurer and control means, since column 1 lines 46-50 of Kammler et al. states that such a modification ensures that an exact quantity of flowable products are dispensed, insufficiently filled packages are not shipped to customers, and proper adjustments can be made to subsequent packages based on the determined weight of the mixture and the packaging.

Regarding claim 19, Sandolo '560 discloses an apparatus comprising a weigher with a bulk hopper 11 and an additive dispenser including a gas transport 30, but does not disclose the additive dispenser having a bulk hopper. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the additive dispenser of Sandolo '560 to include a bulk hopper, since column 3 line 40-column 4 line 7 of Sandolo '283 suggests that such a modification effectively mixes the additive substance dispense from the additive dispenser with a secondary substance while guiding the mixture of the additive substance and the secondary substance in to a package.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandolo (USPN 5,361,560) in view of Kammler et al. (USPN 5,832,700) as applied to claim 9, and further in view of Parliament et al. (USPN 3,655,397).

Regarding claim 13, Sandolo discloses different types of flavoring (column 1 lines 67-68) dispensed from the flavoring apparatus. Parliament et al. teaches liquid flavoring (column 4

Application/Control Number: 10/724,114

Art Unit: 3721

lines 50-51) having different concentrations (column 5 lines 24-56). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the flavoring apparatus such that the predetermined flavoring supplied varies based on the type of flavoring supplied, since Parliament et al. suggests that different concentrations of flavoring are desirable.

Page 5

- 6. Claims 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandolo (USPN 5,361,560) in view of Kammler et al. (USPN 5,832,700) as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Sandolo (USPN 5,690,283).
- 7. With respect to claim 16, Sandolo '560 discloses a mixer 15 that aids in the combination of the articles from a weigher with an additive dispensed from an additive dispenser, but neither discloses an Archimedean screw nor a combinational weigher. Sandolo '283 teaches an apparatus comprising: a combinational weigher 13 (column 4 lines 22-30); an additive dispenser 46 including a hopper 35; a packaging machine (column 4 lines 47-49); a mixer including an Archimedean screw 38; and a controller 50 that controls the additive dispenser 46 in response to an output from the weigher 18 of a quantity of articles supplied to the additive dispenser 46. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the mixer of Sandolo '560 to include an Archimedean screw, since column 3, lines 48-52 of Sandolo '283 states that such a modification effectively mixes an additive with a product.
- 8. Claims 17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandolo (USPN 5,361,560) in view of Kammler et al. (USPN 5,832,700) as applied to claims 14 and 20 above, and further in view of Nakamura et al. (USPN 6,301,859).

In reference to claims 17 and 22, Sandolo discloses a weigher that dispenses a product for packaging, but does not disclose a combinational weigher. Nakamura et al. teaches vertical

form, fill, and seal packaging system, wherein product is provided for packaging from a combinational weigher. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the system of Sandolo to include a combinational weigher, since column 1 lines 25-55 of Nakamura et al. state that such a modification provides effective distribution of product by allowing a predetermined weight of product to be dispensed from the weigher within a predetermined tolerance.

9. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandolo (USPN 5,690,283) in view of Kammler et al. (USPN 5,832,700) as applied to claims 14 and 20 above, and further in view of Dove et al. (USPN 6,953,004).

With respect to claim 25, Sandolo disclose a method of flavoring and packaging food, but does not disclose the food to specifically be potato chips. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Sandolo to include the food item of potato chips, since Dove et al. teaches that it is well known in the art to flavor and package potato chips.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-8 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicant's arguments filed with respect to independent claims 9, 14 and 20 on December 20, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 12. Applicant has argued that while Kammler et al. discloses a sorting unit, the sorting unit of Kammler et al. fails to sort the packaged products into a plurality of ranks for acceptable product. Examiner has found Kammler to sort the packaged products into a plurality of ranks for

Application/Control Number: 10/724,114 Page 7

Art Unit: 3721

acceptable products, wherein the plurality of ranks include sufficiently filled packaged and insufficiently filled packages. Therefore, Examiner has maintained the rejection of claims 9, 14 and 20 in view of Sandolo '560 and Kammler et al.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GLORIA R. WEEKS whose telephone number is (571)272-4473. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,114 Page 8

Art Unit: 3721

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199

/Gloria R. Weeks/ Examiner, Art Unit 3721

/Rinaldi I Rada/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3721

April 16, 2008

Application/Control Number: 10/724,114

Art Unit: 3721

Berg discloses a flavoring system comprising: a measurer 15 for measuring a quantity of articles (column 4 lines 62-66); a flavoring apparatus 28 downstream of the measurer 15, wherein the quantity of flavoring is determined based on the quantity of articles measured (column 5 lines 1-6); a packager; and a control means (column 7 lines 26-35) for controlling the predetermined quantity of articles supplied by the measurer, wherein the quantity of articles and flavoring is measured by a predetermined flow rate. Berg does not disclose a check measurer

Page 9

In response to the arguments of independent claims 14 and 20, Applicant has argued that neither Sandolo '283 nor Kammler et al. disclose adjusting the quantity of additive as recited in the respective claims. Examiner disagrees, as column 2 lines 12-15 of Kammler et al. states that the disclosed controller adjusts the amount of substance dosed in a subsequent control cycle based on the weight of a package containing a substance in a previous cycle for the purpose of corrected any inaccuracies of substance dosed from the device.